

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] > [(b)(6)]
Sent: Wednesday, August 10, 2022 2:17 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Louis Juers
Importance: High

[REDACTED], [(b)(6)]

I have enough of Mr. Juers persistence and insistence in getting involved in an employee case. He does not have the jurisdiction to contradict MLC processes or to raise questions of the processes used to resolved MLC cases.
[(b)(6)]

I replied to the Mr. Juer and copy furnished you, [REDACTED] reached back and was informed we accepted the 3rf grievance even though the employee did not submitted timely or used the processed established.
His latest comment is below. If I need to raise my concerns to your Commander, I gladly pursue that avenue.

Copy of the latest ICE Comment is below.

" I have been awaiting a response to my ICE comment submitted on Friday 8/5. It has been 3 GBDs (5 calendar days). This is now the second time I have had an ICE Comment ignored by this office when a response was requested. I find that to be very unprofessional. This is my sixth request for the below information regarding [REDACTED]. If the supervisors position is currently vacant, please provide the next line supervisor above that. This is basic information that I believe could have been provided two weeks ago when I initially requested it. Supervisors Name: Supervisors Title: Supervisors Email: Supervisors Phone: Another lunch break cut short by this office because they continue to ignore/fail to provide the requested information. Employee/Staff Attitude : Awful Timeliness of Service : Awful"

V/r

[REDACTED] [(b)(6)]
RHRO - Indo Pacific
Office of Civilian Human Resources (OCHR)
DSN [REDACTED]
Comm [REDACTED] [(b)(6)]
Intl' Dials [REDACTED]
Cell: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]